

NORTH WILTSHIRE PARISHES:
PROPOSED WAITING RESTRICTIONS
COMMENTS OF OBJECTION AND SUPPORT

Contents

High Street, Colerne	1
Market Place, Colerne.....	3
Quarry Lane, Colerne.....	4
Corsham Road, Lacock.....	5

8 Comments received (4 objecting, 4 supporting)

Breakdown by road			
Road	Objections	Support	Comments
High Street, Colerne	2	1	0
Market Place, Colerne	1	1	0
Quarry Lane, Colerne	1	1	0
Corsham Road, Lacock	0	1	0

High Street, Colerne

Ref	Comment Received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
HS1	<p>Objecting to proposals and requests further justification</p> <p>There are currently two non-restricted parking spaces approx. 15 meters to the west (other side of double yellow lines on junction of Chapel Path) of the proposed change. Immediately to the east of the proposed change, is one disabled space and a further non-restricted space.</p> <p>I fail to see how changing one non-restricted space to a restricted time space will be of any benefit to anyone or anything. I would be very interested to hear what the justification for this proposal is.</p>	1	<p>This change was requested by the Parish Council to increase turnover opportunities for the village Post Office/Shop.</p> <p>Residents will still be able to park unrestricted outside the restricted hours.</p>

High Street, Colerne cont...

Ref	Comment Received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
HS2	<p>Seeks further justification of the proposal and raises concern about signage</p> <p>To make this currently non-restricted parking space (among 3 others and a disabled space) a 'time restricted' parking space will require a sign to be put up adjacent to this space. This is immediately in front of the property at 28 High Street. This property is Grade 2 Listed. The resident is concerned about where the sign would be located and if various permission would be required by the property owner, require Listed Building consent.</p> <p>If however the proposal does not include attaching the sign to the property, will that mean a signpost will be put up with sign attached? If so, has any consideration been given to the fact that putting a signpost on an already narrow pedestrian pavement, will cause an obstruction?</p>	1	<p>This proposal was requested by the Parish Council to increase day time parking opportunities for the Post Office.</p> <p>Signage would be required for the proposed restriction, yet we would approach the property owner to agree a suitable location for the sign, be that on the low wall or a new post. In the case of a post it would be black (due to being in a conservation area) and sited at the back of the footway, close to the wall so as to not obstruct the narrow pavement.</p>
HS3	<p>Supports proposals</p> <p>Agrees with the proposal and believes an additional parking bay could be made in the vicinity.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p> <p>Proposal cannot be increased at this stage without re-advertising all the proposals. Whilst this may seem a request for a small addition, it will require an amendment to the whole Order.</p>

Market Place, Colerne

Ref	Comment Received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
MP1	<p>Supports proposals</p> <p>I am totally in favour of the changes. Parking has always been a huge problem in Colerne for those living in the Market Place and upper High Street. It also makes sense since the buses no longer drive round the Market Place making the yellow lines opposite the bus shelter redundant. We have waited a long time for this, you only have to look at Colerne Facebook page to see this is a major cause on complaints.</p>	1	Comments of support are noted.
MP2	<p>Objects to the proposals and requests further restrictions</p> <p>Having lived in Market Place before the current restrictions, I had witnessed cars being trapped in the Double Yellow area and then driving out over the grass by the Memorial which is not really possible with the drop down onto the main road the other side. Currently this spot is rarely used by Market Place residence as a place of last resort to park overnight and works. The alternative is No Waiting Monday to Saturday 8am-6pm. I would suggest any change be 5 meters from eastern boundary of 'Emsleigh', so No Parking remains across the entrance of 'Emsleigh'.</p> <p>In addition from a personally conducted parking survey there would appear to be a requirement for a longer stay than 1hr. Probably because of visiting the doctors can take longer than 1 Hr. Can I suggest this area is 2Hrs.</p>	1	<p>Requested by the Parish Council to increase parking opportunities.</p> <p>Owner of the property 'Emsleigh' is aware of the proposals and our intention to place an access marking across the drive should these proposals be taken forward.</p> <p>The request for the parking time to be extended cannot increase at this stage without re-advertising all the proposals. Whilst this may seem a request for a small addition, it will require an amendment to the whole Order.</p> <p>Further to the comments received, it is recommended that the proposal be introduced as advertised and parking behaviour monitored after implementation.</p>

Quarry Lane, Colerne

Ref	Comment Received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
QL1	<p>Objection – considers proposal will reduce safety</p> <p>We believe that the proposal to remove the parking restrictions by 10 metres nr the public toilets in Quarry Lane will make it dangerous for us when we try to exit our property. As the parking is now we often have to turn left out of our gate rather than right because we cannot see the traffic coming down Quarry Lane due to parked vehicles blocking our view. These vehicles are often transit size vans. Lot's of vehicles park outside the public toilets to use the toilet's. If that space is already taken up with parked vehicles then they will park across my entrance. Also vehicles coming from the Market Place into Quarry Lane will meet vehicles on the wrong side of the road due to parked vehicles therefore risking a accident. As it is at the moment traffic coming down Quarry Lane into the village have to drive on the wrong side of the road from the school entrance to almost the public toilets, almost the whole length of Quarry Lane. Therefore we believe that this proposal is a bad idea.</p>	1	<p>Requested by the Parish Council to increase parking opportunities for residents.</p> <p>In considering the comments raised concerning restricted visibility and further investigation by engineers, it is recommended that this proposal be withdrawn.</p>
QL2	<p>Supports the proposals to provide additional parking opportunities in the village.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p>

Corsham Road, Lacock

Ref	Comment Received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
CR1	<p>Supports proposals and requests further restrictions</p> <p>I have lived on the Corsham Road for 44 years and have observed car parking by the customers of Whitehall Garden Centre on both Corsham Road and Notton Lane over many years, not only on the road but on the pavements as well. Police have attended busy periods here many times, and when questioning them about the parking on the pavements, I have been advised that I should report it to Wiltshire Council and vice versa.</p> <p>Could you please advise me why it has been necessary for the Garden Centre Management to put no parking cones on top of the existing yellow lines in order to stop customers parking there? The cones are a hazard to both through traffic and pedestrians on the footpath. The cones narrow the width of the carriageway and I have seen HGV's clip the cones, and have seen them fly onto the Pavement. The cones then remain a hazard to pedestrians on the pavement.</p> <p>To prevent further similar occurrences, I would support any additional yellow lines, but also additional "No loading or unloading" markings with signage to include Saturdays, Sundays and Bank Holidays.</p> <p>Something more than just an extension of the existing lines needs to be done, otherwise we will see a repeat of the chaos caused by the addition of cones on top of double yellow lines in order to deter garden centre customers from parking in this area.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p> <p>At this stage of the legal process, we cannot increase the extent without re-advertising the proposals, at further expense and would further delay the implementation of the restrictions for the rest of the proposals and whilst this may seem a request for a small addition, it will require an amendment to the whole Order.</p> <p>The proposed and current restrictions do not require additional measures such as the 'no waiting' cones mentioned, to be enforced. We will alert the Garden Centre of your concerns regarding cones and will advise as necessary.</p> <p>As above the request to include 'no loading' cannot be added at this stage of the process without re-advertising all the proposals.</p> <p>Further to the comments received, it is recommended that the proposal be introduced as advertised and parking behaviour monitored after implementation.</p>